



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,419	09/26/2000	Russell N. Mirov	2070.000200	5548
75	90 02/17/2004		EXAM	INER
B. NOEL KIVLIN			DU, THUAN N	
P.O BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
71007111, 171	1010. 0320		2116	11
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

′ '		Re
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/670,419	MIROV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Thuan N. Du	2116
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Experiments.	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the first transfer of t	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/670,419

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-3 are presented for examination.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The drawings were received on July 14, 2003. These drawings are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Gasteren et al. [Van Gasteren] (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,771) in view of Hara et al. [Hara] (U.S. Patent No. 5,724,591).

Application/Control Number: 09/670,419

Art Unit: 2116

6. Regarding claim 1, Van Gasteren teaches a method for controlling the operation of a communication channel interconnecting a plurality of components [col. 1, lines 56-63], comprising the steps of:

asserting a freeze signal (master_halt signal) to cause communications between a first and a second components (masters 22 and 26) to cease [col. 2, lines 34-39]; and

receiving a freeze acknowledge signal (master halted signal) from the first and second components indicating that communications there between have ceased [col. 2, lines 40-43].

Van Gasteren teaches that the system clock can be switched off in order to reduce power dissipation of the system when all modules are in a well defined state [col. 3, lines 54-61] but does not explicitly teach a change signal is delivered to the first and second components to cause the components to switch between a first and second clock frequency signals.

Hara teaches a method for controlling transitions between a first and second clock frequency signal in a multiprocessor system including the step of delivering a change signal (supplied clock signal) to the first and second components (processor units) to cause the components to switch between a first and second clock frequency signals [col. 5, lines 20-28; col. 6, lines 6-7].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Van Gasteren and Hara because they both teach method for reducing power consumption of a computer system. Hara's teaching of switching the components from a first operation frequency to a second operation frequency would increase the reliability of Van Gasteren's system by allowing the components to enter to a reduced power

Application/Control Number: 09/670,419

Art Unit: 2116

state (low operation frequency) not only for saving power but also for reducing malfunctions caused by noise in the system [Hara, col. 5, lines 29-36].

- 7. Regarding claim 2, Van Gasteren and Hara together teach the claimed method steps.

 Therefore, Van Gasteren and Hara together teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.
- 8. **Regarding claim 3**, Van Gasteren teaches an apparatus, for controlling the operation of a communication channel interconnecting a plurality of components [col. 1, lines 56-63], comprising:

a first component (M1 22) capable of receiving a freeze signal (Halt_M1) [col. 2, lines 34-39] and delivering an acknowledge signal (M1_halted) after communications therefrom have been ceased [col. 2, lines 40-43];

a second component (M2 26) capable of receiving a freeze signal (Halt_M2) [col. 2, lines 34-39] and delivering an acknowledge signal (M2_halted) after communications therefrom have been ceased [col. 2, lines 40-43]; and

a controller (cmm 20) capable of delivering the freeze signal (master_halt signal) requesting that the first and second components cease communications there between [col. 2, lines 34-39].

Van Gasteren teaches that the system clock can be switched off in order to reduce power dissipation of the system when all modules are in a well defined state (determined by the

Art Unit: 2116

received acknowledge signals) [col. 3, lines 54-61] but does not explicitly teach the controller capable of transitioning between a first and second clock signals.

Hara teaches an apparatus, for controlling transitions between a first and second clock signals in a multiprocessor system, comprising a controller (operation clock controller 210) capable of transitioning between a first and second clock signals [col. 5, lines 20-28] to the components.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Van Gasteren and Hara because they both teach system for reducing power consumption of a computer system. Hara's teaching of switching the operation clock signal from a first clock signal to a second clock signal would increase the reliability of Van Gasteren's system by allowing the components to enter to a reduced power state (low operation frequency of the second clock signal) not only for saving power but also for reducing malfunctions caused by noise in the system [Hara, col. 5, lines 29-36].

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2116

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Thuan N. Du

February 11, 2004

Page 6